

Binary Service to Same Client (adapted from NSPE Case No. 78-3)

Year

1999

Description

This is an open-ended scenario for discussion based on a case from the NSPE Board of Ethical Review. Two Engineers co-own both an engineering firm and a consulting firm called Electricity Services. Their engineering firm is awarded an assignment by the board of directors of the water plant on the basis of reports and recommendations provided by their other company, Electricity Services.

Body

You and Smith are engineers who own a consulting engineering firm. You and Smith also own Electricity Services, Inc., a seperate corporation. Electricity Services has a management arrangement with a rural dictrict. Under this arrangement, Electricity Services provides several services on a regular basis, including meter reading, billing, recommendations for repair and maintenance. Every so often, Electricity Services provides recommendations for additions and approvements to the water system. The board of directors of the water district meets regularly and receives the reports and recommedations of Electricity Services.

The contract between Electricy Services and the rural district is about to be renegotiated. Smith suggests that the new terms of this contract include a stipulation that when the board approves recommedations of Electricity Services, it awards the engineering assignments for the required professional services to your consulting firm.

How should you respond to this suggestion?

NSPE Code of Ethics An earlier version may have been used in this case.

Notes

See the original NSPE case at: Binary Service to Same Client - Case No. 78-3.

Rights

Use of Materials on the OEC

Resource Type

Case Study / Scenario

Parent Collection

Professional Ethics in Engineering Practice: Discussion Cases Based on NSPE BER Cases

Topics

Conflict of Interest

Discipline(s)

Engineering

Publisher

Online Ethics Center Authoring Institution Online Ethics Center