

Public Criticism of Safety (adapted from NSPE Case No. 88-7)

Year

1999

Description

A case in which an engineer agrees to take a job for the local newspaper, which misrepresents the engineer's professional opinion.

Body

Garcia, a renowned structural engineer, is hired for a nominal sum by a large city newspaper to visit the site of a State bridge-construction project. This project has been plagued by construction delays, cost increases, and litigation, primarily as a result of several well publicized on-site accidents.

Garcia visits the bridge and performs a one-day visual inspection. In very general terms, her report identifies potential problems and proposes additional testing and other solutions. In a series of feature articles based on Garcia's report, the newspaper alleges that the bridge has major safety problems that will jeopardize its completion date. Allegations of misconduct and incompetence are made against the project engineers, the contractors, and the State highway department. The State holds an investigation, in which Garcia states that her report only identified potential problems with the safety of the bridge and was not intended to be conclusive.

Do you think it was ethical for Garcia to perform an investigation for the newspaper in the manner stated? In light of this experience, what safeguards might an engineer

seek as a condition of accepting an assignment like Garcia's?

NSPE Code of Ethics An earlier version may have been used in this case.

Notes

See the original NSPE case at: Public Criticism of Bridge Safety - Case No. 88-7.

Rights

Use of Materials on the OEC

Resource Type

Case Study / Scenario

Parent Collection

Professional Ethics in Engineering Practice: Discussion Cases Based on NSPE BER Cases

Topics

Safety

Public Health and Safety Communicating Science and Engineering

Discipline(s)

Engineering

Publisher

Online Ethics Center Authoring Institution Online Ethics Center