



Online Ethics Center  
FOR ENGINEERING AND SCIENCE

# Misconduct Accounts for the Majority of Retracted Scientific Publications

## Author(s)

Ferric Fang  
R. Grant Steen  
Arturo Casadevall

## Year

2012

## Description

By Ferric C. Fang, R. Grant Steen, and Arturo Casadevall *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science*, Oct. 1, 2012 A detailed review of all 2,047 biomedical and life-science research articles indexed by PubMed as retracted on May 3, 2012 revealed that only 21.3% of retractions were attributable to error. In contrast, 67.4% of retractions were attributable to misconduct, including fraud or suspected fraud (43.4%), duplicate publication (14.2%), and plagiarism (9.8%).

ExternalURL

<http://www.pnas.org/content/early/2012/09/27/1212247109>

## Rights

Use of Materials on the OEC

## Resource Type

Published Work

## Topics

Research Misconduct

Publication Ethics

**Discipline(s)**

Research Ethics