

# **Federal Policy on Research Misconduct**

Annotated by Dr. Ken Pimple

### Author(s)

Kenneth L. Carper

Year

2017

#### **Abstract**

An annotated explanation of the 2005 Federal policy on research misconduct.

# **Body**

Department of Health and Human Services. 2005. "42 CFR Parts 50 and 93: Public Health Service Policies on Research Misconduct; Final Rule." Federal Register 70(94):28,370-28,400 (Tuesday, May 15) https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2005-05-17/pdf/05-9643.pdf (verified 09/23/2017)

The material in the box below comprises an exact quotation from page 28,386.

Research misconduct means fabrication, falsification, or plagiarism in proposing, performing in reporting research results.

- (a) Fabrication is making up data or results and recording or reporting them.
- (b) Falsification is manipulating research materials, equipment, or processes, or changing or such that the research is not accurately represented in the research record.
- (c) Plagiarism is the appropriation of another person's ideas, processes, results, or words wit credit.
- (d) Research misconduct does not include honest error or differences of opinion.

## § 93.104 Requirements for findings of research misconduct.

A finding of research misconduct requires that-

- (a) There be a significant departure from accepted practices of the relevant research commit misconduct be committed intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly; and
- (b) The misconduct allegation be committed intentionally, knowingly, recklessly; and
- (c) The allegation be proven by a preponderance of evidence.

## Legal terms

adapted from http://encyclopedia.thefreedictionary.com/culpability

# Responsibility

- If the *goal* and the *result* are the same, the act is **intentional** or purposeful "intentional" and "purposeful" seem to have the same definition.
- If the actor knows that the result is virtually certain, the act is knowing
- If the actor is aware that the act carries a large and unjustifiable risk, the action is reckless
- If the actor is unaware that the act carries a large and unjustifiable risk, the action is **negligent** If the actor should have been aware of the risk

An intentional/purposeful act is also knowing, and a knowing act is reckless

Note that negligent actions do not fall under the definition of research misconduct.

#### Standard of evidence

- preponderance of the evidence more likely than not; 51+%
- clear and convincing evidence highly probable
- beyond a reasonable doubt a reasonable person would not have enough doubt to counter the finding

The preponderance of the evidence and clear and convincing evidence are the standards in civil law, in which the punishment is usually monetary. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt is the standard in criminal law, in which the punishment is often imprisonment.

#### Notes

Also available at TeachRCRus.

## **Rights**

Use of Materials on the OEC

# **Resource Type**

Instructor Materials

#### **Parent Collection**

Ken Pimple Collection

## **Topics**

Research Misconduct Handling Misconduct Allegations

# Discipline(s)

Research Ethics